



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,422	05/25/2007	Pertti Hasanen	PAT155USA	7029
24339	7590	04/02/2008	EXAMINER	
JOEL D. SKINNER, JR. SKINNER AND ASSOCIATES 212 COMMERCIAL ST. HUDSON, WI 54016		ANDERSON, AMBER R		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		4133		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		04/02/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/590,422	HASANEN, PERTTI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	AMBER R. ANDERSON	4133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2007.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/25/07</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to Application filed on May 25, 2007 in which claims 1-4 are presented for examination.

### ***Status of Claims***

Claims 1-4 are pending of which Claim 1 is in independent form.

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Specification***

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 2, Line 5 where it reads "The figure ths shows" should read "The figure thus shows". Page 2, Line 11 where it reads "sown inside the trousers" should read "sewn inside the trousers". Appropriate correction is required.
  
2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 1 "characterized in tha the sheet" should read "characterized in that the sheet". Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitley (U.S. Patent No. 1,428,731).**

Regarding Claim 1, Whitley discloses thigh guards that inherently have some weight that are "formed of rubber or plasticbased sheet material" (Page 1, Lines 66-73 where it states that the strips x are made of sheet fibre or other relatively stiff material) "that essentially conform to the shape of the wearer's body" (Page 1, Lines 15-24) "and are located in the trousers on both sides" (Page 1, Lines 10-13 where it states that the guards are desinged to protect the wearers thighs and further on Page 1, Lines 46-49 where it says that they are placed in pockets) "and also at least partially on the front and back sides of the thighs as essentially uniform plates" (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 2, Whitley discloses where "the sheet material is equipped on at least one side with fabric or cloth attached to it" (Fig. 2, x) "which, if desired, can have padding properties' (Page 1, Lines 10-13).

Regarding Claim 3, Whitley discloses where "the sheet material is equipped with cuts or slits, in order to improve flexibility and comfort" (Page 1, Lines 15-23, where it states that sheets of fibre (and later stated that sheet fibre or other relatively stiff material is suitable) are attached together by a fabric).

Regarding Claim 4, Whitley discloses where "the pieces of sheet-like material forming the additional weights are located in pocket-like parts of the apparel, which can if necessary be opened/closed" (Page1, Lines 46-49).

***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the thigh protectors enclosed in pockets being closed by Velcro™ of Dugan (U.S. Patent No. 6,532,599).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMBER R. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)270-5281. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frantz Coby can be reached on (571) 272-4017. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amber R Anderson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4133

March 28, 2008  
/Frantz Coby/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 4133